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## Introduction

An initial assessment of the South African Round Herring (Etrumeus whiteheadi) resource, commonly referred to as Red Eye, has been undertaken.

Considering the proportion of females in different reproductive stages, Roel and Melo (1990) report that, although older round herring appear to be reproductively active throughout the year, the smaller, more abundant fish are reproductively active for just a short period in the winter, with a peak in June. Their histological analysis indicated peak spawning in May, July, August and November, with no data available for April, June, September or October. The abundance of round herring eggs and larvae per station from the CELP program (August 1977 - August 1978) indicates a very low level of spawning between January and April, with a peak in egg numbers in August and a peak in larvae in October (van der Westhuizen pers. comm.).

Taking this into consideration, together with the timing of the recruit survey, the round herring will be modelled to have an annual birth date of 1 June. The model predicted (just turned) 1 year olds on 1 June will therefore be assumed to correspond with the May survey estimates of recruitment.

## Population Dynamics Model

The population dynamics model used for the South African round herring resource is detailed in Appendix A. The data used in this assessment are listed in Appendix B. The base case assumption of $M_{j}^{R H}=M_{a d}^{R H}=1.3$ is based on unpublished data of Y. Geja and D. Durholtz.

## Initial Results and Discussion

The model is able to fit the survey estimated November 1+ biomass and May recruitment reasonably well (Figures 1 and 2). However, the multiplicative bias associated with these surveys requires a more informative prior distribution. Given an uninformative prior distribution ${ }^{1}, k_{N}^{R H}$ is estimated at the posterior mode to be 0.26 , while $k_{R}^{R H}$ is estimated to be 0.05 , suggesting the surveys are only able to pick up a very small fraction of the true biomass. These estimates are unrealistically low. When assigned uniform prior distributions between 0.5 and 1 ,

[^0]$k_{N}^{R H}$ is estimated at the posterior mode to be at the upper bound of 1.5 , while $k_{R}^{R H}$ is estimated to be at the lower bound of 0.5 . It is clear that a more structured informative prior distribution is required for at least one of these parameters. Some potential ranges for a number of contributions to these biases (such as target strength and time of day) have recently been provided. This information has yet to be collated to try to provide a single informative prior distribution. The increasing trend evident in the residuals from the model fit to the recruitment estimates may disappear once reasonable priors for these biases can be incorporated.

The model fits to the commercial proportions-at-length are provided in Figure 3, for the minus group, and Figure 4. The model fits are poor, with an over-estimation of the commercial proportion-at-length 13- and a general underestimation of the commercial proportion-at-lengths 15 cm to 20 cm . The model estimated length at age distributions are plotted in Figure 5. The estimated CV about the mean length at age, $\vartheta_{a}$, is about $30 \%$. Once a realistic multiplicative bias for the survey abundances can be estimated, the estimation of selectivity-at-age will be attempted. Alternative selectivity-at-age or natural mortality values may result in a lower model-estimated commercial proportion-at-length 13-.

## Further work and Questions

The model estimated 1 year olds at 1 June are assumed to correspond to the May survey estimate of recruitment. According to the von Bertalanffy growth curve assumed in this model, the 1 year olds would had a mean length of about 12.8 cm Lc. The recruit numbers estimated by the survey are calculated based on a cut-off length which varies annually and is estimated using a modal progression analysis. It will be important to establish whether this average cut-off length used correspond with that predicted by the von Bertalanffy growth curve in this model. Extensions of this work may fit directly to the length distribution data from the surveys.

The following robustness tests are proposed to test the sensitivity of the model to assumptions made:
$\mathrm{RH}_{0}$ - base case assessment
$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathrm{M} 1}$ - alternative natural mortality: $M_{j}^{R H}=M_{a d}^{R H}=0.8$
$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathrm{M} 2}$ - alternative natural mortality: $M_{j}^{R H}=M_{a d}^{R H}=1.1$
$\mathrm{RH}_{\mathrm{M} 3}$ - alternative natural mortality: $M_{j}^{R H}=M_{a d}^{R H}=1.5$
$\mathrm{RH}_{\text {weight }}$ - sensitivity to the weighting assumed for $w_{\text {com }}$
A "rough" linear regression was applied to the hydroacoustic survey stratum densities from November 1984 to 1997 and May 1987 to 1997 in order to correct the capped densities to uncapped values. This same regression was also applied to the interval densities. Thus the pre-1998 survey estimated November 1+ biomass and May recruitment numbers, and CVs, may not be as reliable as those estimated from 1998 to 2010. Thus we consider: $\mathrm{RH}_{\text {pre98 }}$ - a lower weight to the survey observations prior to 1998 OR the survey estimates of abundance from 1987 to 1997 being excluded from the analysis.
The survey effort in terms of number of transects and the offshore extent of the surveys have increased in recent years compared to the earlier part of the time series (Coetzee and Merkle 2009). The distribution of round herring
extends further offshore and eastwards than that of sardine and anchovy. Coetzee and Merkle (2009) proposed that the increase in survey estimated abundance over the time series was "real" and not biased by the increase in survey effort and offshore coverage over time. In order to test this we will consider
$\mathrm{RH}_{\text {effort }}$ - fit to a time series of survey estimates of abundance which correspond to the same offshore distance.
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Figure 1. Acoustic survey observed and initial model estimated November round herring 1+ biomass from 1987 to 2009 . The observed indices are shown with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. The standardised residuals from the fit are given in the right hand plot.


Figure 2. Observed and initial model estimated round herring recruitment numbers from May/June 1985 to 2010. The observed indices are shown with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. The standardised residuals from the fit are given in the right hand plot.


Figure 3. Observed (symbols) and initial model estimated (line) round herring proportion-at-length 13- in the commercial catch from 1988 (i.e. June 1987 to May 1988) to 2010 (i.e. June 2009 to May 2010).

| MCM/2010/SWG-PEL |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 4. Observed and initial model estimated round herring proportion-at-length in the commercial catch from 1988 (i.e. June 1987 to May 1988 ) to 2010 (i.e. June 2009 to May 2010).


Figure 5. The model estimate age to length matrix, $A_{a, l}^{\text {com }}$, representing the proportion of round herring catch-atage $a$ that fall in the length group $l$.

## APPENDIX A: Bayesian Assessment Model for the South African Round Herring (Red Eye)

## Resource

## Model Assumptions

1) All fish have a theoretical birthdate of 1 June.
2) A plus group of age 5 is used. No males older than 4 have been observed, though females up to 8 years of age have been observed (Y. Geja and D. Durholtz pers. comm.).
3) Two acoustic surveys are held each year: the first takes place in November and surveys the $1+^{2}$ stock; the second is in May/June (known as the recruit survey) and surveys 0 year old round herring (which age to 1 years old at 1 June).
4) The November acoustic survey provides a relative index of abundance of unknown bias.
5) The recruit survey provides a relative index of abundance of unknown bias.
6) The survey designs have been such that they result in survey estimates of abundance whose bias is invariant over time.
7) Pulse fishing occurs mid-March for all ages (higher round herring catches have historically been recorded between January and May, with a peak in March).
8) Catches are measured without error.
9) Age 0 fish are at most 13 cm long.
10) Selectivity is assumed to be year, but not age, invariant.
11) Natural mortality is year-invariant for juvenile and adult fish, and age-invariant for adult fish.

## Population Dynamics

The basic dynamic equations for round herring, based on Pope's approximation (Pope, 1972), are as follows, where $y_{n}=2010$.

## Numbers-at-age at 1 June

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{N}_{y, 1}^{R H}=\left(\hat{N}_{y-1,0}^{R H} e^{-9.5 M_{0} / 12}-\hat{C}_{y, 0}^{R H}\right) e^{-2.5 M_{0} / 12} & y=1988, \ldots, y_{n} \\
\hat{N}_{y, a}^{R H}=\left(\hat{N}_{y-1, a-1}^{R H} e^{-9.5 M_{a-1} / 12}-\hat{C}_{y, a-1}^{R H}\right) e^{-2.5 M_{a-1} / 12} & y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}, a=2,3,4 \\
\hat{N}_{y, 5+}^{R H}=\left(\hat{N}_{y-1,4}^{R H} e^{-9.5 M_{a-1} / 12}-\hat{C}_{y, 4}^{R H}\right) e^{-2.5 M_{a-1} / 12}+\left(\hat{N}_{y-1,5+}^{R H} e^{-9.5 M_{a-1} / 12}-\hat{C}_{y, 5+}^{R H}\right) e^{-2.5 M_{a-1} / 12} \\
& y=1988, \ldots, y_{n} \tag{A.1}
\end{array}
$$

where
$\hat{N}_{y, a}^{R H} \quad$ is the number (in billions) of round herring of age $a$ at the beginning of June in year $y$;

[^1]$\hat{C}_{y, a}^{R H} \quad$ is the number (in billions) of round herring of age $a$ caught from 1 June in year $y-1$ to 31 May in year $y$; and
$M_{a}$ is the natural mortality (in year ${ }^{-1}$ ) of round herring of age $a$.

Biomass associated with the November survey
$\hat{N}_{N o v, y, a}^{R H}=\left(\hat{N}_{y, a}^{R H} e^{-2.75 M_{a} / 12}-\hat{C}_{N o v, y, a}^{R H}\right) e^{-2.75 M_{a} / 12}$

$$
y=1987, \ldots, y_{n}-1, a=1, \ldots, 5+
$$

$\hat{B}_{y, N}^{R H}=\sum_{a=1}^{5+} \hat{N}_{N o v, y, a}^{R H} w_{a}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=1987, \ldots, y_{n}-1 \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\hat{N}_{N o v, y, a}^{R H}$ is the number (in billions) of round herring of age $a$ at mid-November in year $y$;
$\hat{C}_{\text {Nov, }, \mathrm{a},}^{R H}$ is the number (in billions) of round herring of age $a$ caught from 1 June to mid-November in year $y$;
$\hat{B}_{y, N}^{R H}$ is the biomass (in thousand tons) of $1+$ round herring at the beginning of November in year $y$, which are taken to be associated with the November survey; and
$w_{a} \quad$ is the mean mass (in grams) of round herring of age $a$ sampled during the November survey.

## Catch

The catch at age by number is given by:
$\hat{C}_{y, a}^{R H}=\hat{N}_{y-1, a}^{R H} e^{-9.5 M_{a} / 12} S_{a} F_{y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}, a=0, \ldots, 5+ \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$S_{a} \quad$ is the commercial selectivity at age $a$, which is assumed to be year-independent; and
$F_{y} \quad$ is the fished proportion in year $y$ for a fully selected age class.
In the equations above the difference in the year subscript between the catch-at-age and initial numbers-at-age is because these numbers-at-age pertain to June of the previous year, while the catch is assumed to be taken in a pulse in mid-March.

The fished proportion is estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{y}=\frac{C_{y}^{O b s T o n}}{\sum_{a=0}^{5+} w_{a} \hat{N}_{y-1, a}^{R H} e^{-4.75 M_{a} / 12} S_{a}} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}
$$

where
$C_{y}^{O b s T o n}$ is the observed catch tonnage of year $y$ (June $y-1$ to May $y$ ) from the RLFs.

The catch at age by number from 1 June to mid-November, for use in calculating the round herring biomass surveyed, is calculated as follows:
$\hat{C}_{N o v, y, a}^{R H}=\hat{N}_{y, a}^{R H} e^{-2.75 M_{a} / 12} S_{a} F_{y+1}$,

$$
\left.y=1987, \ldots, y_{n}-1, a=0, \ldots, 5+\text { (А. } 5\right)
$$

Given the predicted proportion-at-age in the quarterly commercial catch
$\hat{p}_{y, a}^{\text {com }}=\frac{\hat{C}_{y, a}^{R H}}{\sum_{a=0}^{5+} \hat{C}_{y, a}^{R H}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}, a=0, \ldots, 5+ \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the assumption that all age 0 fish are at most $13 \mathrm{~cm}_{\mathrm{c}}$, the predicted proportion-at-length is then estimated as follows:
$\hat{p}_{y, 13-}^{\text {com }}=\hat{p}_{y, 0}^{\text {com }}+\sum_{l=3.5}^{13} \sum_{a=1}^{5+} \hat{p}_{y, a}^{c o m} A_{a, l}^{\text {com }}$

$$
y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}
$$

$\hat{p}_{y, l}^{\text {com }}=\sum_{a=1}^{5+} \hat{p}_{y, a}^{\text {com }} A_{a, l}^{\text {com }}$
$y=1988, \ldots, y_{n}, l=13.5, \ldots, 20.5 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\hat{p}_{y, 21+}^{c o m}=\sum_{l=21}^{23} \sum_{a=1}^{5+} \hat{p}_{y, a}^{\text {com }} A_{a, l}^{\text {com }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=1988, \ldots, y_{n} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the length groups are in $0.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and
$A_{a, l}^{\text {com }} \quad$ is the proportion of round herring catch-at-age $a$ that fall in the length group $l$ (thus $\sum_{l=l \min }^{l \max } A_{a, l}=1$ ).
The matrix $A^{\text {com }}$ is calculated under the assumption that length-at-age is normally distributed about a von Bertalanffy growth curve:
$L_{a}^{c o m} \sim N\left(L_{\infty}\left(1-e^{-\kappa\left(a-t_{0}\right)}\right), \vartheta_{a}^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=1, \ldots, 5+ \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$L_{\infty} \quad$ denotes the maximum length of the individual;
$\kappa \quad$ denotes the annual growth rate;
$t_{0} \quad$ denotes the age at which the growth rate is zero; and
$\vartheta_{a}^{2} \quad$ denotes the variance about the mean length for age $a$.

## Fitting the Model to Observed Data (Likelihood)

The survey observations are assumed to be log-normally distributed, and sampling CVs (squared) of the untransformed survey observations are used to approximate the "sampling" component of the total variance of the corresponding log-distributions. The commercial proportions at length from the raised length frequencies are assumed to be lognormally distributed. Thus we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\ln L & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=1987}^{y n-1}\left\{\frac{\left(\ln B_{y, N}^{R H}-\ln \left(k_{N}^{R H} \hat{B}_{y, N}^{R H}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{y, N}^{R H}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{N}^{R H}\right)^{2}}+\ln \left[2 \pi\left(\left(\sigma_{y, N}^{R H}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{N}^{R H}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=1987}^{y n}\left\{\frac{\left(\ln N_{y, r}^{R H}-\ln \left(k_{r}^{R H} \hat{N}_{y, 1}^{R H}\right)\right)^{2}}{\left(\sigma_{y, r}^{R H}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{r}^{R H}\right)^{2}}+\ln \left[2 \pi\left(\left(\sigma_{y, r}^{R H}\right)^{2}+\left(\lambda_{r}^{R H}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +w_{\text {com }, \min } \sum_{y=1988}^{y n}\left\{\frac{p_{y, l \min }^{c o m}\left(\ln p_{y, l \min }^{c o m}-\ln \hat{p}_{y, l \min }^{c o m}\right)^{2}}{2\left(\sigma_{c o m}^{S}\right)^{2}}+\ln \left(\frac{\sigma_{c o m}^{S}}{\sqrt{p_{y, l \min }^{c o m}}}\right)\right\}  \tag{A.9}\\
& +w_{c o m} \sum_{y=1988}^{y n} \sum_{l=l \min +1}^{l \max }\left\{\frac{p_{y, l}^{c o m}\left(\ln p_{y, l}^{c o m}-\ln \hat{p}_{y, l}^{c o m}\right)^{2}}{2\left(\sigma_{c o m}^{S}\right)^{2}}+\ln \left(\frac{\sigma_{c o m}^{S}}{\sqrt{p_{y, l}^{c o m}}}\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$B_{y, N}^{R H}$ is the acoustic survey estimate (in thousand tons) of $1+$ round herring biomass from the November survey in year $y$, with associated CV $\sigma_{y, N}^{R H}$ and constant of proportionality (multiplicative bias ${ }^{3}$ ) $k_{N}^{R H}$; $N_{y, r}^{R H} \quad$ is the acoustic survey estimate (in billions) of round herring recruitment from the recruit survey in year $y$, with associated CV $\sigma_{y, r}^{R H}$ and constant of proportionality $k_{r}^{R H}$;
$\left(\lambda_{N / r}^{R H}\right)^{2}$ is the additional variance (over and above the survey sampling $\mathrm{CV} \sigma_{y, N / r}^{R H}$ that reflects survey intertransect variance) associated with the November/recruit surveys;
$p_{y, l}^{c o m} \quad$ is the observed proportion (by number) of the commercial catch in length group $l$ during year $y$ (June $y-1$ to May $y$ );
$w_{\text {com }, \min }$ is the weighting applied to the commercial proportion at length 13 cm (the minus group);
$w_{\text {com }}$ is the weighting applied to the remainder of the commercial proportion at length data;
$\sigma_{c o m}^{S}$ is the standard deviation associated with the proportion-at-length data in the commercial catch, which is estimated in the fitting procedure by:
$\sigma_{c o m}^{S}=\sqrt{\sum_{y=1988}^{y n} \sum_{l=l \min }^{l \max } p_{y, l}^{c o m}\left(\ln p_{y, l}^{c o m}-\ln \hat{p}_{y, l}^{c o m}\right)^{2} / \sum_{y=1988}^{y n} \sum_{l=l \max }^{l \max } 1}$.

The raw commercial catch data are in 0.5 cm length classes in terms of caudal length $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$. A minus group ( $l \mathrm{~min}$ ) of $5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and a plus group ( $l \mathrm{max}$ ) of $21 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ were assumed to ensure that all observations were nonzero.

## Fixed Parameters

The following parameters are fixed externally in this assessment:

[^2]$M_{j}^{A}$ and $M_{a d}^{A}=1.3$.
$\left(\lambda_{N}^{R H}\right)^{2}=\left(\lambda_{r}^{R H}\right)^{2}=0$. These parameters may be estimated, if the data allows, in the final assessment.
There are 16 length classes in the data. However, these length classes are not all independent as there are only about 5 age groups. Therefore dividing the length data contribution to the likelihood by 3 gives it a weighting close to the 5 age groups. Thus $w_{\text {com }}=0.33$.

The assumption is made that $w_{\text {com, min }}=1$ as it represents a single age group.
$S_{0}=0.25, S_{1}=0.5, S_{2}=0.75, S_{3+}=1$.

## Estimable Parameters and Prior Distributions

Annual recruitment: $N_{y, 0}^{R H} \sim U(0,5000), y=1987, \ldots, y_{n}-1$
November survey multiplicative bias: $\ln \left(k_{N}^{R H}\right) \sim U(-0.693,0.405)$ (corresponding to $0.5 \leq k_{N}^{R H} \leq 1.5$ )
Recruit survey multiplicative bias: $\ln \left(k_{r}^{R H}\right) \sim U(-0.693,0.405)$ (corresponding to $0.5 \leq k_{r}^{R H} \leq 1.5$ )
Initial numbers at age: $N_{1987, a}^{R H} \sim U(0,50), a=1, \ldots, 5+$
Variance about the mean length at age $1+: \vartheta_{1+}^{2} \sim U(0,0.15)$

## Further Outputs

The spawning stock biomass at 1 June is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S S B_{y}^{R H}=\sum_{a=1}^{5+} \phi_{a} N_{y, a}^{R H}\left(\frac{5.5 w_{a-1}+6.5 w_{a}}{12}\right) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
y=1987, \ldots, y_{n}-1
$$

where
$\phi_{a}$ denotes the proportion mature at age $a$.

## APPENDIX B: Data and standard inputs used in the South African Round Herring Assessment

## November acoustic survey

A time series of estimates of annual biomass from November 1984 to November 2009 are available, together with CVs (Table 1). The assumption is made that these estimates of abundance are comparable. Coetzee and Merkle (2009) compared visually survey effort and biomass, noting too the general correlation between increased survey estimated recruitment (which should be less influenced by offshore extensions of survey effort) and subsequent increased survey November biomass, and concluded that the increase in biomass for the duration of the time series was 'real' and not correlated to the increase in survey effort.

Although the November survey length frequencies indicate that some recruits ( $<12 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) are sampled by the survey, the numbers are low (Janet Coetzee pers. comm.). The weight of these recruits and their contribution to the total biomass would therefore be small. Thus the survey estimates of abundance are assumed to measure the relative $1+$ biomass.

## May recruit acoustic survey

A time series of estimates of annual recruitment numbers and biomass is available from May 1987 to May 2010, together with CVs (Table 1). The assumption is made that these estimates of recruitment are comparable. As round herring eye recruits tend to be distributed inshore, overlapping with sardine and anchovy recruits, the effect of an increase in survey effort offshore would be less (Coetzee and Merkle, 2009).

## Von Bertalanffy Growth Curve

The von Bertalanffy parameters are assigned averages of estimates from the November 2005 and 2006 surveys (Y. Geja and D. Durholtz pers. comm.): $L_{\infty}=21.15 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}, \kappa=0.37, t_{0}=-2.0$. In calculating the von Bertalanffy curve, the round herring aged 1 in November of year y correspond to round herring born between January and December of year y-1. Assuming a birthdate of 1 June, according to this model, the age 1 fish on the von Bertalanffy curve corresponds to round herring between 11 months and 1 year and 11 months old. Similarly for older ages. This ageing corresponds with the use of the von Bertalanffy curve in the assessment model:
i) Estimating catch-at-age from catch-at-length. Catch is assumed to be taken mid-March (equation A.3), when the fish are eg 1 year and $91 / 2$ months old.
ii) W eight-at-age. This applies to the November survey.

## Weight at age

A length-weight relationship has been calculated from the 5 years of November survey data between 2005 and 2009 (Y. Geja and D. Durholtz pers. comm.):
$W=0.0084 \times L_{c}^{3.0883}$
where weight is in grams and caudal length $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ in cms. This length-weight relationship was applied to the length-at-age calculated by the mean von Bertlanffy relationship assumed for the model to give the weight-atage values listed in Table 2. The weight-at-age $5+$ was calculated as $w_{5+}=\frac{\sum_{a=5}^{20} w_{a} \text { prop }_{a}}{\sum_{a=5}^{20} \operatorname{prop}_{a}}$, where $\operatorname{prop}_{a}=e^{-(a-5) M_{a d}}$ denotes the relative proportion at age, assuming a low fishing mortality on older ages.

## Maturity at age

Using gonad stage data, the level of $50 \%$ maturity was found to range from between $12.5-13.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in August 1986 to $14 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in November 1987 (Roel and Melo 1990). When using histology, $50 \%$ maturity was found to be attained at $14.5 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ while all were mature by $19 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in November 1987 (Roel and Melo 1990). More recent results suggest all males in November are mature by age $2\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{c}}=16 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ and all females are mature by age $4\left(L_{c}=18 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$, with $50 \%$ maturity attained by 0.8 yrs for males $\left(L_{c}=13.1 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ and 1.5 years for females $\left(L_{c}=14.5 \mathrm{~cm}\right)(Y . G e j a ~ a n d ~ D . D u r h o l t z ~ p e r s . ~ c o m m) .$.

Basing the maturity of SSB on the female data only and using the input of a proportion mature of 1 at "June" age 4 and a proportion mature of 0.5 at "June" age 2, a piece-wise linear curve gives the proportion mature at age listed in Table 3.

## Commercial catch

Commercial catch raised length frequencies are available by month from 1987 onwards. The annual data listed in Table 4 is the sum of the months of June of the previous year to May of the reported year.

Table 1. Time series of annual estimates of $1+$ biomass from the November acoustic survey (in tons), with CVs, and estimates of recruitment from the May acoustic survey (in billions), with CVs.

| Year | November survey |  |  | May survey |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Biomass | CV | Numbers | CV |
| 1984 | 80546 | 0.337 |  |  |
| 1985 | 253750 | 0.227 |  |  |
| 1986 | 349282 | 0.305 |  | 0.312 |
| 1987 | 545522 | 0.201 | 3.513 | 0.329 |
| 1988 | 380531 | 0.323 | 1.169 | 0.265 |
| 1989 | 881286 | 0.264 | 0.046 | 0.469 |
| 1990 | 440117 | 0.181 | 1.787 | 0.282 |
| 1991 | 642954 | 0.250 | 9.221 | 0.240 |
| 1992 | 751462 | 0.170 | 1.909 | 0.286 |
| 1993 | 523388 | 0.220 | 8.616 | 0.267 |
| 1994 | 284887 | 0.213 | 3.545 | 0.310 |
| 1995 | 586870 | 0.135 | 2.610 | 0.308 |
| 1996 | 596511 | 0.156 | 2.725 | 0.204 |
| 1997 | 624054 | 0.295 | 16.642 | 0.226 |
| 1998 | 1247966 | 0.149 | 4.445 | 0.266 |
| 1999 | 1398329 | 0.171 | 12.789 | 0.308 |
| 2000 | 1420454 | 0.169 | 3.629 | 0.334 |
| 2001 | 1045517 | 0.131 | 5.109 | 0.824 |
| 2002 | 917853 | 0.189 | 11.260 | 0.358 |
| 2003 | 1761631 | 0.108 | 23.871 | 0.281 |
| 2004 | 1475464 | 0.100 | 1.803 | 0.274 |
| 2005 | 1616260 | 0.130 | 10.134 | 0.155 |
| 2006 | 1228446 | 0.106 | 15.640 | 0.261 |
| 2007 | 1720865 | 0.153 | 10.000 | 0.328 |
| 2008 | 1260460 | 0.118 | 38.040 | 0.336 |
| 2009 | 1990831 | 0.108 | 13.570 | 0.347 |
| 2010 |  |  | 21.140 |  |

Table 2. The weight-at-age (in grams) corresponding to the November survey.

| Age | Weight |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 14.047 |
| 1 | 30.268 |
| 2 | 46.859 |
| 3 | 61.347 |
| 4 | 72.951 |
| $5+$ | 83.983 |

Table 3. The proportion mature-at-age corresponding to 1 June.

| Age | Proportion mature |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0.25 |
| 2 | 0.5 |
| 3 | 0.75 |
| 4 | 1 |
| $5+$ | 1 |

Table 4. The numbers at length (in thousands) in the commercial catch, and the corresponding catch (in tons).
Note that the catch for year $y$ consists of the catch from June of $y$ - 1 to May of $y$.

| Length Class ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in cm) | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tonnage | 64582 | 44600 | 46276 | 33550 | 47005 | 46054 | 60448 | 81819 | 43512 | 90107 | 57663 | 57336 |
| 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 136 | 344 | 933 | 0 | 4033 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5 | 0 | 20 | 1590 | 3802 | 42 | 1396 | 3 | 5451 | 264 | 0 | 1027 | 1142 |
| 5.0 | 9 | 436 | 1560 | 18107 | 644 | 11656 | 3278 | 17966 | 5717 | 1402 | 2918 | 91752 |
| 5.5 | 132 | 1312 | 4112 | 24879 | 32578 | 72276 | 31932 | 13226 | 28412 | 2044 | 14124 | 3812 |
| 6.0 | 1228 | 20776 | 12596 | 37549 | 9808 | 43569 | 88839 | 82300 | 28940 | 3703 | 35206 | 30732 |
| 6.5 | 15795 | 21662 | 10209 | 63102 | 25452 | 29200 | 119539 | 113561 | 55497 | 6037 | 54445 | 21765 |
| 7.0 | 22868 | 127778 | 55153 | 57340 | 36893 | 35559 | 88063 | 88795 | 72965 | 36038 | 61051 | 59664 |
| 7.5 | 12226 | 22966 | 45484 | 45462 | 34696 | 26987 | 63975 | 65737 | 119077 | 148602 | 40950 | 88257 |
| 8.0 | 6729 | 2988 | 40204 | 33918 | 26483 | 22613 | 283301 | 68331 | 111041 | 132460 | 32035 | 156403 |
| 8.5 | 3058 | 4870 | 36379 | 20096 | 22533 | 28108 | 95722 | 66983 | 49106 | 83287 | 39678 | 124366 |
| 9.0 | 4708 | 9780 | 22763 | 9712 | 20849 | 26834 | 47427 | 50800 | 37392 | 132225 | 43534 | 76824 |
| 9.5 | 6509 | 12952 | 13304 | 8572 | 18682 | 28838 | 42161 | 47815 | 38429 | 105417 | 34327 | 54855 |
| 10.0 | 7500 | 11244 | 6010 | 3053 | 17083 | 25657 | 24391 | 39363 | 51578 | 101222 | 19499 | 49394 |
| 10.5 | 6659 | 4814 | 3893 | 384 | 18878 | 24017 | 12950 | 28011 | 41749 | 45901 | 22480 | 17651 |
| 11.0 | 5038 | 2168 | 1764 | 219 | 13731 | 19798 | 9684 | 13505 | 17607 | 43712 | 27557 | 18421 |
| 11.5 | 2526 | 1785 | 463 | 148 | 8272 | 17082 | 4497 | 5061 | 5926 | 27754 | 32206 | 7219 |
| 12.0 | 2346 | 1833 | 626 | 2097 | 6143 | 11738 | 4581 | 2605 | 1740 | 8444 | 39926 | 4293 |
| 12.5 | 1867 | 165 | 422 | 289 | 2630 | 9134 | 5238 | 2263 | 783 | 5476 | 44173 | 470 |
| 13.0 | 2267 | 927 | 1221 | 492 | 2109 | 11601 | 9803 | 3634 | 713 | 21051 | 27825 | 924 |
| 13.5 | 2235 | 1435 | 777 | 337 | 922 | 15123 | 27055 | 5910 | 938 | 16216 | 22024 | 1889 |
| 14.0 | 968 | 3114 | 3350 | 662 | 6293 | 23003 | 63718 | 13002 | 3907 | 44289 | 30556 | 4016 |
| 14.5 | 5979 | 2354 | 3910 | 1905 | 21313 | 48125 | 113041 | 26941 | 10425 | 46367 | 38148 | 18667 |
| 15.0 | 34697 | 8311 | 6808 | 5852 | 54216 | 110940 | 196053 | 82506 | 23207 | 61819 | 48845 | 36325 |
| 15.5 | 76580 | 34783 | 7315 | 16042 | 103608 | 188813 | 198565 | 181847 | 43286 | 75067 | 62061 | 62604 |
| 16.0 | 102302 | 49349 | 15379 | 36821 | 161932 | 226282 | 189852 | 296054 | 108218 | 134197 | 95323 | 115229 |
| 16.5 | 119760 | 106594 | 35935 | 59041 | 154602 | 138816 | 139083 | 286859 | 167712 | 190492 | 151824 | 142682 |
| 17.0 | 125465 | 151644 | 80222 | 84928 | 115861 | 57493 | 86520 | 217875 | 161957 | 269486 | 171789 | 153853 |
| 17.5 | 114880 | 141090 | 122301 | 87714 | 75105 | 27162 | 53894 | 146720 | 96829 | 252920 | 138899 | 133992 |
| 18.0 | 99058 | 87488 | 126588 | 74923 | 54165 | 15541 | 29239 | 96800 | 51393 | 178824 | 83154 | 87150 |
| 18.5 | 95477 | 52635 | 90429 | 51736 | 33495 | 10290 | 17069 | 59433 | 20568 | 87594 | 44962 | 52519 |
| 19.0 | 69588 | 26878 | 57948 | 33950 | 20346 | 8032 | 9292 | 35549 | 10703 | 35864 | 23275 | 33807 |
| 19.5 | 45410 | 14189 | 32785 | 15663 | 10240 | 3657 | 4045 | 19385 | 4770 | 11312 | 11404 | 16563 |
| 20.0 | 26329 | 8321 | 17370 | 8845 | 4508 | 1909 | 5103 | 8588 | 1965 | 5176 | 6800 | 8898 |
| 20.5 | 11553 | 4694 | 8119 | 2999 | 1921 | 383 | 779 | 3788 | 1167 | 2542 | 1297 | 7085 |
| 21.0 | 5192 | 2560 | 4315 | 1246 | 683 | 175 | 179 | 885 | 501 | 1944 | 1472 | 3990 |
| 21.5 | 2433 | 1293 | 1804 | 242 | 172 | 0 | 193 | 79 | 616 | 234 | 416 | 2133 |
| 22.0 | 806 | 568 | 889 | 156 | 121 | 0 | 23 | 162 | 132 | 0 | 570 | 195 |
| 22.5 | 358 | 37 | 202 | 144 | 33 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23.0 | 124 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 670 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |

Table 4 (continued).

| Length Class ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in cm) | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tonnage | 36346 | 56703 | 56815 | 34941 | 40171 | 39444 | 37814 | 53650 | 66651 | 36989 | 89152 |
| 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.0 | 0 | 275 | 0 | 4 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 257 |
| 4.5 | 1192 | 688 | 0 | 1523 | 3321 | 0 | 134 | 496 | 0 | 0 | 3376 |
| 5.0 | 11450 | 321 | 4 | 4438 | 14270 | 6207 | 6030 | 6577 | 712 | 378 | 18651 |
| 5.5 | 35039 | 125 | 11 | 19670 | 183961 | 33083 | 5678 | 41347 | 100 | 1087 | 99617 |
| 6.0 | 63035 | 6432 | 14 | 24780 | 26944 | 82217 | 8543 | 127469 | 315 | 6098 | 102628 |
| 6.5 | 84150 | 37148 | 547 | 40858 | 36528 | 108793 | 23529 | 183168 | 4417 | 16971 | 84844 |
| 7.0 | 78973 | 43545 | 2413 | 54890 | 40369 | 125373 | 40999 | 218400 | 11669 | 23122 | 224061 |
| 7.5 | 26290 | 41098 | 6409 | 48228 | 49446 | 167992 | 67744 | 172644 | 18771 | 19210 | 167132 |
| 8.0 | 29705 | 6405 | 5258 | 29945 | 56747 | 125025 | 68441 | 267471 | 11324 | 13098 | 111732 |
| 8.5 | 38184 | 30105 | 7231 | 23683 | 47262 | 88430 | 75416 | 235538 | 18666 | 6450 | 34107 |
| 9.0 | 24748 | 7949 | 6412 | 31420 | 30321 | 55699 | 57736 | 86507 | 6597 | 11662 | 27878 |
| 9.5 | 8632 | 26369 | 4196 | 40279 | 14053 | 82027 | 35880 | 30578 | 7562 | 6664 | 13358 |
| 10.0 | 5364 | 27965 | 16714 | 12369 | 5434 | 116156 | 15237 | 20868 | 8279 | 3714 | 10293 |
| 10.5 | 6427 | 23672 | 646 | 11671 | 4837 | 130120 | 9070 | 34572 | 2280 | 7932 | 7691 |
| 11.0 | 16943 | 9648 | 668 | 19239 | 5773 | 122409 | 7029 | 49602 | 2621 | 5503 | 6071 |
| 11.5 | 7215 | 9781 | 384 | 39320 | 12406 | 92964 | 6470 | 29637 | 1294 | 13035 | 10353 |
| 12.0 | 7965 | 27725 | 226 | 57984 | 15463 | 60157 | 4783 | 89153 | 6305 | 6364 | 6521 |
| 12.5 | 6192 | 20581 | 1106 | 58749 | 24343 | 65392 | 5530 | 89402 | 7637 | 12429 | 1667 |
| 13.0 | 14955 | 27998 | 9166 | 40492 | 55310 | 60594 | 8973 | 54335 | 46794 | 15098 | 5018 |
| 13.5 | 10318 | 61858 | 20629 | 25414 | 77530 | 73402 | 31605 | 48990 | 85095 | 5038 | 9613 |
| 14.0 | 26121 | 93757 | 35362 | 21438 | 100981 | 118835 | 71706 | 33521 | 140802 | 5940 | 19121 |
| 14.5 | 22166 | 113375 | 47090 | 19203 | 78765 | 125703 | 124276 | 73678 | 170200 | 17159 | 52565 |
| 15.0 | 36337 | 114009 | 99863 | 28821 | 75099 | 94089 | 105847 | 90036 | 204847 | 29836 | 85427 |
| 15.5 | 62425 | 81671 | 202682 | 35635 | 70288 | 60513 | 89128 | 95659 | 214324 | 46223 | 116385 |
| 16.0 | 89618 | 103865 | 253809 | 53999 | 73909 | 53355 | 74529 | 107805 | 187902 | 79515 | 170832 |
| 16.5 | 135751 | 112680 | 199878 | 73355 | 77908 | 37704 | 74136 | 90062 | 135926 | 94850 | 214739 |
| 17.0 | 116358 | 127691 | 122076 | 73376 | 66474 | 28054 | 64269 | 76487 | 96997 | 128300 | 241224 |
| 17.5 | 56383 | 112969 | 73704 | 60171 | 55146 | 15520 | 41053 | 66708 | 65951 | 88425 | 211322 |
| 18.0 | 26062 | 78831 | 37771 | 52317 | 27437 | 9804 | 25769 | 51371 | 43888 | 67805 | 179034 |
| 18.5 | 11273 | 37670 | 23546 | 35419 | 22215 | 7510 | 18671 | 35062 | 26616 | 28851 | 108929 |
| 19.0 | 4232 | 15546 | 9521 | 18854 | 13175 | 5020 | 11949 | 24049 | 15292 | 18296 | 64755 |
| 19.5 | 792 | 8283 | 6436 | 11327 | 5865 | 2615 | 11102 | 11869 | 8729 | 11344 | 32209 |
| 20.0 | 1067 | 2763 | 2095 | 4995 | 5780 | 2083 | 6318 | 6340 | 4847 | 2706 | 15368 |
| 20.5 | 414 | 607 | 418 | 2133 | 745 | 475 | 2794 | 2880 | 2181 | 1872 | 8788 |
| 21.0 | 203 | 93 | 515 | 1822 | 72 | 367 | 1216 | 2157 | 972 | 1739 | 5690 |
| 21.5 | 0 | 96 | 164 | 439 | 650 | 3 | 667 | 1647 | 315 | 294 | 1462 |
| 22.0 | 174 | 40 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 694 | 88 | 0 | 782 |
| 22.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 183 |
| 23.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 31 |


[^0]:    * MARAM (Marine Resource Assessment and Management Group), Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7701, South Africa.
    ${ }^{1}$ Uniform on the $\log$ of bias, corresponding to a bias range of between 0 and 2

[^1]:    2 " $1+$ " denotes the age $1,2,3,4$ and $5+$ fish, which are technically $1,2,3,4$ or 5 years and $51 / 2$ months old at the time of the November survey.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ This includes an estimate of all bias associated with the survey, including the bias introduced due to the use of a target strength for a species other than round herring.

