# Additional calculations requested 30 November 2015 for the Opportunity Based Model 

## by

## Tyler Donaldson and Mike Bergh

## 30 November 2015 (Submitted to IWS 2015)

The panel made the following suggestions for further work, in order of priority:
Analysis related
a) Super-analysis: (i) select the set which leads to the highest catch rate among all possible sets (no hierarchy), and (ii) do not cap the catch by the actual catch for a set.
b) Check if 'day' can be replaced by '3-day window'. If the results change to a notable extent:
c) Replace step 7a by selecting the highest catch rate.
d) Replace step 7b by not constraining the catch to the actual catch for a set.

## Documentation / understanding

a) Indicate how many sets are replaced by zeros and how many by lower catches *by year*.
b) List the number of sets that need to be moved *by year*.

Response to panel request viewed as overnight requirement: Analysis related (a) has been carried out (Fig. 1a in the table below). Analysis related (b)-(d) was not carried out because this is a larger task than could be accomplished overnight in the context of existing OBM code. Documentation / understanding (a), (b) has been carried out for the ALL opportunity option and the ADJ, ADJ2 and Other Island opportunity option (see Figs 3 and 4a,b).

A number of other runs were carried out as seemed logical in the context of the day's discussions, as presented below (for all years):

| Fig \# | 7a (max of all <br> opportunities <br> or randomly <br> selected) | 7b (capped <br> at actual set, <br> or no cap or <br> boat cap or <br> boat x year <br> cap) | Hierarchy <br> (in groups <br> or all <br> lumped <br> together) | Alternative opportunities. <br> ALL = ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER, <br> GANSBAAI, ST HELENA BAY, <br> EXTRA | Set <br> selection | \% Lost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. | RANDOM | CAPPED | IN GROUPS | ALL | ALL | $40.52 \%$ |
| 1a | MAX | NO CAP | LUMPED | ALL | ALL | $-26.26 \%$ |
| 2a | MAX | CAPPED | IN GROUPS | ALL | ALL | $41.52 \%$ |
| 2b | RANDOM | NO CAP | IN GROUPS | ALL | ALL | $23.68 \%$ |


| 2c | RANDOM | CAPPED | LUMPED | ALL | ALL | 44.81\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b | MAX | NO CAP | LUMPED | ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER ISLAND | ALL | 4.90\% |
| 1 c | MAX | NO CAP | IN GROUPS | ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER ISLAND | ALL | 12.06\% |
| 2d | MAX | CAPPED | LUMPED | ALL | ALL | 27.19\% |
| 1c' | MAX | NO CAP | IN GROUPS | ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER ISLAND | $>15 \%$ <br> Anchovy | 12.17\% |
| 1b' | MAX | NO CAP | LUMPED | ADJ, ADJ2, OTHER ISLAND | $>15 \%$ <br> Anchovy | 5.12\% |
| 1a" | MAX | BOAT CAP | LUMPED | ALL | ALL | -11.74\% |
| 1a'"' | MAX | NO CAP | LUMPED | ALL - St Helena Bay | ALL | -11.83\% |
| 1a'"' | MAX | $\begin{gathered} \text { BOAT } \times \text { YEAR } \\ \text { CAP } \end{gathered}$ | LUMPED | ALL | ALL | -12.01\% |
| 2b' | RANDOM | NO CAP | IN GROUPS | ALL | >=2008 | 29.23\% |
| 2b' | RANDOM | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BOAT x YEAR } \\ \text { CAP } \end{gathered}$ | IN GROUPS | ALL | ALL | 24.48\% |

"Tiny set issue": Sets where anchovy is an incidental small component. There is a potential issue with these sets. We have tried to address this by removing all sets with catches with less than a $15 \%$ anchovy content to given an idea of the impact that this is having. Before removing those sets we have a total catch 1987-2014 of 7.765 million MT (but based on standardized sets), removing sets with less than a $15 \%$ content of anchovy reduces this figure to 7.731 million MT. The results (Fig 1c' compared to Fig 1c) indicate that the role of this effect is small.

## OBSERVATIONS

1. Scale of effects depends on other settings so the following are likely to be context specific.
2. Effect of extra opportunities in context of MAX, NO CAP, LUMPED: Compare 1a, 1b, 1a'" suggests Extra, Gansbaai and St Helena Bay add about 30\%, and that St Helena Bay on its own adds about 15\%.
3. Effect of capping: $2 b$ versus B.C. Removing capping adds about $17 \%$.
4. Effect of lumping opportunities in the context of choosing maximum alternative opportunity: 2d versus 2 a adds about $14 \%$.
5. Effect of no cap compared to a pragmatic boat specific cap of maximum catch over all years: $1 a^{\prime \prime}$ versus 1a: adds about $15 \%$.
6. Effect of no cap compared to a pragmatic boat $\mathbf{x}$ year specific cap of maximum catch in each year per boat: 1a" versus 1a: adds about $15 \%$.
7. Maximum versus random alternative opportunity selection in the context of lumped opportunities: 2d vs 2c adds about $17 \%$.
8. $2 b$ versus $2 b^{\prime}$ : $6 \%$ additional unreplaceable catch Saldanha Bay factory effect >= 2008 years. Also however confused with other island possibly closed.






Fig 3. Number of sets needing to be replaced each year for Dassen Island and Robben Island added together.


Fig 4a. Orange bars indicate instances of no alternative opportunities.


Fig 4b. Orange bars indicate instances of no alternative opportunities.

